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Leprosy is the most frequently biopsied dermatologic condition in our hospital and contributes approximately 60% of all skin biopsies (290 of 467 in 1991). The majority of leprosy patients attending our outpatient department have tuberculoid leprosy (TL) (BT leprosy in the Ridley-Jopling classification). A search for *Mycobacterium leprae* in tissue sections stained with the Fite stain is frequently futile. In such a situation we base the diagnosis of TL on a combination of physical signs and histological findings. We feel that in an endemic area a diagnosis of leprosy should be entertained in all cases with a compatible clinical presentation and a histologic picture of compact epithelioid cell dermal granulomas, even in the absence of demonstrable *M. leprae*.

As with other workers there have been instances when we have had to revise our initial clinical and histopathological diagnosis of tuberculoid leprosy. In our experience some of the lesions simulating TL include granulomatous secondary syphilis, post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis, sarcoidosis, granuloma annulare, and lupus vulgaris.

Recent reports in the literature have addressed the utility of the immunoperoxidase staining of S-100 proteins in skin biopsies to aid in the diagnosis of tuberculoid leprosy. The positive staining of Schwann cells allows easy recognition of intact dermal nerve twigs or their fragments. It has been proposed that the presence of intact nerve twigs, or fragmented remnants of nerves within the granulomas, should favor a diagnosis of TL; the nerves, being protected sites for the location of leprosy bacilli, are the seat of the granuloma formation.

We therefore decided to test the applicability of these findings, using the S-100 stain, in differentiating TL from the other granulomatous conditions that we commonly encounter.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Twelve consecutive skin biopsies from patients with clinical diagnoses of BT leprosy, based on the findings of hypopigmented, anesthetic lesions, characteristic of the disease in this part of the spectrum (as defined by Ridley and Jopling), were taken for the study. The essential histologic picture in all of these cases was that of a dermal granulomatous inflammation with destruction of the appendages. *M. leprae* could not be found using the Fite stain.

For comparison, all the “nonleprosy” granulomatous dermatoses (28 cases) encountered in the 3-year period between January 1989 and December 1991 were taken for study (Table). The two major groups with histology closely resembling that of tuberculoid leprosy were granulomatous secondary syphilis (SS) and lupus vulgaris (LV). The remainder was comprised of infrequently occurring granulomatous conditions with tissue reactions varying from epithelioid cell granulomas (lichen scrofulosorum) to histiocytic granulomas (histoid leprosy), mixed cell granuloma (fungal) and palisading granulomas (granuloma annu-
**THE TABLE. Forty biopsies showing S-100 staining patterns.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staining pattern</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major groups</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculoid leprosy (12)</td>
<td>7*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary syphilis (9)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupus vulgaris (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other granulomatous dermatoses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma annulare (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep mycoses (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis verrucosa cutis (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichen scrofulosorum (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular vasculitis (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovanosis (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycetoma (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histoid leprosy (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Specificity 82%.
† Specificity 100%.

Fibrillar structures staining positively for S-100 protein were identified as nerve twigs. The smallest twigs were approximately 30-μm in size. Four patterns of nerve twig distribution were seen: 1) nerve twigs within granulomas (Fig. 1), 2) nerve twigs between granulomas, 3) nerve twigs within and between granulomas (Fig. 2), and 4) undetectable nerve twigs in an adequate biopsy which included some subcutaneous tissue. In all 12 of our cases of tuberculoid leprosy (The Table) nerve twigs were detectable either within the granulomas (7) or not at all (5). The complete absence of nerve twigs (pattern 4) in an adequate biopsy is the most reliable criterion for the diagnosis of TL (p < 0.05). In the seven cases of TL with nerve twigs present within granulomas, nerve twigs invariably were absent between them (pattern 1). A pattern similar to this also was observed in two cases of SS and one of LV. In LV and SS the dominant patterns were 2 and 3, respectively.

In the remaining cases nerve twig distribution patterns were either 2 or 3. However, the numbers were insignificant for charac-

---

*Fig. 1. Nerve twig within a granuloma (pattern 1) detected by S-100 protein in a case of lupus vulgaris (PAP ×400).*
Fig. 2. Nerve twigs both within (open arrowhead) and between (arrow) granulomas (pattern 3) in a case of granulomatous secondary syphilis (PAP x400).

Fig. 3. Numerous macrophages packed with positively staining M. leprae (arrow) (PAP x400).

Fig. 4. Positively staining mycetoma granule (arrow) (PAP x400).

terizing any pattern of distribution. An additional finding was the positive staining of M. leprae (Fig. 3) in nodular leprosy as well as the granules of mycetoma (Fig. 4) in one case each.

DISCUSSION

An etiological diagnosis of epithelioid granulomas of the skin is often difficult because it is seldom possible to demonstrate the inciting agent. Also, different etiological agents produce a confusingly similar morphological picture. Demonstrable evidence of nerve involvement by the granulomatous infiltrate has been considered an important feature of tuberculoid leprosy, its significance being almost the same as the finding of acid-fast bacilli in a nerve (10). The difficulty in recognizing small nerve twigs on routine H&E sections has led to the use of the S-100 stain as an aid to the histological differentiation of granulomatous skin inflammations.

It has been advocated that the finding of nerve twigs within a granuloma should suggest a diagnosis of leprosy (2-3). This study
suggests that such an hypothesis might be misleading because nerves within granulomas also were found in other conditions, notably lupus vulgaris (1 of 7) and granulomatous secondary syphilis (2 of 9). Moreover, even with use of the S-100 stain we were unable to distinguish between the morphology of intact small nerve twigs and fragments of destroyed nerves in the tissue sections, the smallest structures recognizable as nerves consisting of at least 2 to 3 positively staining, fibrillar Schwann cells of approximately 30 μm in size.

It is evident from The Table that two patterns of staining, the presence of nerve twigs within granulomas (pattern 1) or the complete absence of recognizable nerve twigs (pattern 4) in the biopsy, were seen in TL. Although in the majority of the other granulomatous lesions studied the patterns varied, a striking common histological feature was perineural lymphocytic infiltrate, sometimes infiltrating the perineurium. Careful neurological testing in nonleprosy granulomatous dermatoses is likely to reveal clinical evidence of nerve damage as described in cutaneous leishmaniasis (9) and necrobiosis lipoidica (10).

In conclusion, our findings in granulomatous dermatoses suggest that, contrary to the existing view, it is the complete absence of nerve twigs (pattern 4) in an adequate skin biopsy that is the most reliable criterion for the diagnosis of TL. The finding of nerve twigs within but not between granulomas (pattern 1) is highly suggestive of leprosy. The other two patterns, nerve twigs both within and between (pattern 3) or only between granulomas (pattern 2) should suggest diagnoses other than TL. An unexpected finding of this study is the positive staining of the granules of mycetoma. Also, we substantiate a previous report of the strongly positive staining of M. leprae (11) in nodular leprosy.

**SUMMARY**

Forty biopsies of granulomatous dermatoses, 12 of which were tuberculoid leprosy (TL), were studied for patterns of nerve twig distribution using an immunoperoxidase technique for S-100 protein. Four distinct patterns of nerve twigs were identified: 1) within granulomas, 2) between granulomas, 3) within and between granulomas, and 4) undetectable nerve twigs in an adequate biopsy. Pattern 4 was seen exclusively in TL (p < 0.05). The other patterns occurred in nonleprosy dermatoses as well, suggesting that pattern 4 is the best indicator toward a diagnosis of TL. The granules of mycetoma and *Mycobacterium leprae* also stained positively with the S-100 stain.
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