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Long-term chemotherapy is needed to achieve appreciable results in the control of multibacillary infections with use of multidrug regimen including rifampin, dapsone (DDS), and clofazimine (CFZ) (1, 2). The development of short-term chemotherapy regimens for leprosy patients using new drugs with highly potentiated antileprosy activity is desired in order to simplify administration of therapy by the general health services. Previously, we found that the new benzoxazinorifamycin derivative, KRM-1648 (KRM), displayed excellent in vivo therapeutic efficacy against Mycobacterium leprae infection induced in athymic nude mice (27). In addition, KRM exhibited increased therapeutic efficacy in combination with DDS and CFZ when these drugs were administered to mice once daily for 50 days (28), or in the following protocol for 90 days from day 91 to day 180: KRM, once weekly; DDS, daily, six times per week; CFZ, twice per week (19).

In this study, we evaluated the in vivo anti-M. leprae activities of KRM given at long intervals (once monthly) in combination with ofloxacin (OFLX), CFZ, and DDS, which were given to mice using a different protocol. We also studied the combined effects of two biological response modifiers (BRMs), interferon-γ (IFN-γ) (29) and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (30), on the therapeutic efficacy of KRM. We found that IFN-γ increased the therapeutic efficacy of KRM but that GM-CSF antagonized the effect of KRM. Given these findings, we carried out further studies on the modes of participation of T lymphocytes in host resistance to M. leprae infection. We had previously found that the anti-M. leprae resistance of BALB/c athymic nude mice was markedly increased when they underwent adoptive transfer of whole and anti-CD4 monoclonal antibody (mAb)- or anti-CD8 mAb-treated lymphocytes from euthymic mice, 1 to 3 weeks prior to infection (12). In that study, all three lymphocyte preparations augmented host resistance to a comparable extent. This suggests that both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are required for expression of host resistance to M. leprae infection.

In the present study, we performed lymphocyte transfer for recipient mice in the progressive stage of M. leprae infection, and found that adoptive transfer of lymphocyte preparations using such a protocol effectively decreased bacterial growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. Female, 6-week-old, BALB/c athymic nude (nu/nu) mice and 8- to 10-
week-old, BALB/c euthymic (+/+ ) mice were purchased from Japan Clea Co., Tokyo, Japan.

Organisms. *M. leprae* Thai-53 strain were obtained from Dr. M. Matsuoka, National Institute for Leprosy Research, Tokyo, Japan.

Special agents. KRM, OFLX, and CFZ were kind gifts from Kaneka Corporation, Hyogo, Japan; Daiichi Pharmaceutical Co., Tokyo, Japan, and Ciba-Geigy Co., Tokyo, Japan, respectively. DDS was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan. Monoclonal rat anti-mouse L3T4 (CD4) and mouse anti-Lyt-2.2 (CD8) antibodies (Abs) were purchased from Caltac Laboratories Inc., South San Francisco, California, U.S.A. and Cedarlane Laboratories, Ontario, Canada, respectively. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated rat anti-mouse CD4 and FITC-conjugated rat anti-mouse CD8a monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated hamster anti-mouse CD3-e mAb were purchased from Pharmingen Co., San Diego, California, U.S.A. Recombinant mouse IFN-γ was supplied by Daiichi and recombinant mouse GM-CSF was obtained from Upstate Biotechnology, Inc., Lake Placid, New York, U.S.A. One unit of IFN-γ was defined as the amount required to protect 50% of the indicator cell population (L929 cells) from viral (vesicular stomatitis virus) destruction. One unit of GM-CSF was defined as the amount required to induce half-maximal stimulation of granulocyte and macrophage colony formation from murine bone marrow cells.

Experimental infection. *M. leprae* were harvested from infected foot pads (FPs) of BALB/c nude mice, and bacterial suspensions were prepared as follows (27). The infected FPs were homogenized in Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bio Whittaker Co., Walkersville, Maryland, U.S.A.) and were centrifuged at 200 × g × 5 min. The upper layer was saved, and the bacilli were collected by recentrifugation at 1500 × g × 15 min. The bacterial suspension was again centrifuged at 200 × g × 5 min, and the upper layer was used as an inoculum for experimental infection. Six-week-old, BALB/c nude mice (15 ± 1 g body weight) were infected subcutaneously with 10⁶ of *M. leprae* into the left hind FPs. One year later, mice were killed and the number of acid-fast bacilli (AFB) in the FP was counted using the method of Shepard (28).

Chemotherapy. KRM, DDS, OFLX, and CFZ finely emulsified or dissolved in 0.1 ml of 2.5% gum arabic-0.1% Tween 80 solution were given to infected mice by gavage from day 91 to day 180 using the following protocols: KRM, once every 4 weeks; OFLX and CFZ, three times per week; DDS, daily six times per week. IFN-γ and GM-CSF dissolved in 0.1 ml of 0.1% BSA solution were injected intramuscularly once weekly into infected mice from day 91 to day 180. Although each drug was given to mice at per mouse doses, the variances of body weights of mice of the test regimens were relatively small: 27.1 ± 0.4 g (mean ± S.E.M.; N = 40) at 1 year after infection.

 Priming of mice with *M. leprae* antigens. Gamma-ray-irradiated armadillo liver (3825) naturally infected with *M. leprae* was obtained from G. P. Walsh, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D.C., U.S.A. From this *M. leprae*-infected liver, *M. leprae* were purified following the “IMMLEP protocol 1/79,” a Percoll gradient centrifugation technique, as previously reported (18). BALB/c euthymic mice were injected intravenously with 1 x 10⁷ of the purified *M. leprae*. Mice were sacrificed to prepare *M. leprae* antigen-primed lymphocytes for adoptive transfer 4 weeks after the antigen priming.

Adoptive lymphocyte transfer. Adoptive transfer of whole and anti-CD4 or anti-CD8 mAb-treated lymphocytes to the recipient athymic nude mice was performed as previously described (12). Briefly, spleen cells (SPC) from BALB/c euthymic mice primed or unprimed with *M. leprae* were suspended in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 5% FBS (M. A. Bioproducts, Walkersville, Maryland, U.S.A.), and applied to a Sephadex G-10 column to remove adherent cells. The resultant lymphocyte fraction was then treated with anti-L3T4 (CD4) mAb (200 μg/ml), anti-Lyt-2.2 (CD8) mAb (200 μg/ml), or with medium alone at 0°C for 1 hr. After washing, the cells (7 x 10⁷) suspended in 0.2 ml of RPMI-1640 medium were transferred intravenously to BALB/c athymic nude mice. In a separate experiment, each cell preparation used for adoptive transfer was further...
Table 1. Therapeutic efficacy of KRM, OFLX, CFZ, and DDS alone and in combinations against M. lepraem in mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>No. mice</th>
<th>Log(AFB/FP) (mean ± S.E.M.)</th>
<th>FP thickness (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.77 ± 0.19</td>
<td>5.44 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.65 ± 0.21</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFLX</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.94 ± 0.32</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFZ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.21</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRM+OFLX+CFZ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRM+OFLX+DDS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.31 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRM+OFLX+CFZ+DDS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.12*</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* M. lepraem-infected, BALB/c athymic nude mice were given the indicated drugs alone or in combinations by gavage from day 91 to day 180 as follows: KRM (0.2 mg), once every 4 weeks; OFLX (0.25 mg), 3 times per week; CFZ (0.05 mg), 3 times per week; DDS (0.05 mg), daily 6 times per week. Mice were killed 377 days after infection.

* Significantly smaller than the value of control (untreated) mice: p < 0.01 (Bonferroni’s multiple t test).

* Significantly smaller than the value of mice given KRM, OFLX, CFZ, or DDS alone: p < 0.05 (from those of KRM or CFZ alone); p < 0.01 (from those of OFLX or DDS alone) (Bonferroni’s multiple t test).

* Significant difference (p < 0.01) was also observed in the following combinations by the Bonferroni’s multiple t test: KRM alone vs OFLX alone or DDS alone; OFLX alone vs CFZ alone, DDS alone, KRM+OFLX+CFZ, or KRM+OFLX+DDS; CFZ alone vs DDS alone; DDS alone vs KRM+OFLX+CFZ or KRM+OFLX+DDS. There were no significant differences in the combinations other than those indicated above.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the therapeutic efficacies of KRM (given once every 4 weeks), OFLX, and CFZ (given three times per week), and DDS (given daily six times per week) alone or in multidrug combinations of KRM with the other drugs against M. lepraem infection in mice. KRM, OFLX, CFZ, and DDS were given at doses of 0.2, 0.25, 0.05, and 0.05 mg/mouse (equivalent to clinical dosages), respectively. KRM and CFZ exhibited significant antileprosy activity, causing a 4.12 and 4.18 log-unit decrease, respectively, in the number of AFB per FP compared to the value for the control mice (8.77 ± 0.19 log units). DDS had a weaker but still significant therapeutic efficacy, causing a 1.28 log-unit decrease. In contrast, OFLX exhibited no significant efficacy against M. lepraem infection. Among multidrug combinations tested, neither KRM+OFLX+CFZ nor KRM+OFLX+DDS exhibited significant combined effects as compared to the effect of CFZ or KRM or DDS monotherapy, causing a 4.35 and 4.46 log-unit decrease, respectively. The four-drug combination of KRM+OFLX+CFZ+DDS did exhibit a combined effect,
causing a 5.10 log-unit decrease. This multidrug combination caused significantly reduced bacterial loads as compared to those of mice given KRM, OFLX, CFZ, or DDS alone (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01; Bonferroni’s multiple t test), although there were no significant differences for KRM+OFLX+ CFZ+ DDS vs KRM+OFLX+CFZ or KRM+OFLX+ CFZ+ DDS vs KRM+OFLX+ DDS.

In this experiment, a significant decrease in FP swelling during the course of *M. leprae* infection was observed in mice given the test drugs. However, administration of KRM with the other drugs did not reduce FP thickness.

Table 2 shows the therapeutic efficacies of KRM and two pro-inflammatory cytokines (IFN-γ and GM-CSF) alone or in combinations of KRM with the cytokines against *M. leprae* infection in mice. KRM exhibited a potent therapeutic efficacy, causing a 3.19 log-unit decrease, even when administered at intervals as long as 4 weeks. The two cytokines given at 1-week intervals slightly reduced the growth of *M. leprae* compared with that in control mice, i.e., a 0.35 and 0.16 log-unit decrease in mice given IFN-γ and GM-CSF, respectively. In this case, the observed reduction in the bacterial loads was not statistically significant in Bonferroni’s multiple t test. When KRM was given to mice in combination with IFN-γ, a weak combined effect was obtained with further reduction in the number of AFB, i.e., a 3.67 log-unit decrease. In this case, the difference in the bacterial loads between KRM alone and KRM+IFN-γ was not significant in Bonferroni’s multiple t test. In contrast, when KRM was given in combination with GM-CSF, the therapeutic efficacy of KRM was antagonized by GM-CSF, with only a 1.95 log-unit decrease in the number of AFB obtained. In this case, the bacterial loads in mice given KRM+GM-CSF were significantly larger than those in mice given KRM alone (p < 0.01; Bonferroni’s multiple t test). Thus, the *in vivo* anti-*M. leprae* activity of KRM appears to be potentiated by combination with immunotherapy using IFN-γ but not that with GM-CSF.

We then studied the roles of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in expression of host resistance to *M. leprae* infection as follows: Splenic lymphocytes were obtained from BALB/c euthymic mice which had been primed with *M. leprae* antigen by intravenously injecting gamma-irradiated organisms 4 weeks before cell harvest. Then, whole and anti-CD4 or anti-CD8 mAb-treated lymphocytes were adoptively transferred to BALB/c athymic mice (four mice per regimen) in the stage of progressive *M. leprae* infection, i.e., 14 weeks after the bacterial challenge. All of the lymphocyte preparations markedly reduced the growth of *M. leprae* in recipient mice (data on the adoptive transfer experiments not shown).
In all cases, the numbers of organisms recovered from the infected FP's 368 days after infection were lower than or just at the limit of detection (<3.25 to 3.66 log-units). In this experiment, whole lymphocytes from *M. leprae*-primed donor mice inhibited the growth of *M. leprae* at the sites of infection, as previously reported by Shannon, *et al.* (22). *M. leprae* antigen-primed lymphocytes potentiated host resistance to the same extent as did unprimed lymphocytes in terms of decreased bacterial loads in infected FPs as follows: unprimed cells, 3.31 log-units/FP; primed cells, 3.34 log-units/FP.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, KRM exhibited potent therapeutic efficacy against *M. leprae* infection in mice even when given at long intervals (once per month). KRM displayed increased efficacy in combination with OFLX, CFZ, and DDS, which were given three or six times per week at doses equivalent to their clinical dosages. Based on the present and previous findings (19, 20), we can conclude that KRM displayed increased efficacy when used in the following combinations: KRM+CFZ, KRM+DDS, KRM+CFZ+DDS, and KRM+OFLX+CFZ+DDS. However, no significant combined effect was observed for other combinations including KRM+CFZ (20), KRM+OFLX+CFZ, and KRM+OFLX+DDS (Table 1). It is unclear why neither KRM+OFLX+CFZ nor KRM+OFLX+DDS exhibited combined efficacy while both KRM+CFZ and KRM+DDS did. A possible explanation for this finding is that OFLX might inhibit the potentiation by either CFZ or DDS of the therapeutic efficacy of KRM by an unknown mechanism. In addition, this competitive effect of OFLX would disappear when it was added to the combination KRM+CFZ+DDS. Therefore, it is important to verify such possibilities. Furthermore, our previous study (20) indicated that a much larger dose of OFLX was needed (3.0 mg/mouse) than that in our present study (0.25 mg/mouse; ca. 10 mg/kg) to cause a significant bacterial response in the treated mice. Indeed, pharmacokinetic studies showed that the serum level of OFLX after oral administration at 10 mg/kg in mice was about five times lower than that achieved in humans given the same dosage of OFLX on the basis of body weight: that is, Cmax in mice and humans were reported to be 1.21 and 6.64 µg/ml, respectively (17, 23). This may suggest that the combined use of OFLX with multidrug regimens involving KRM may not be advisable, at least when OFLX is administered in small dosages as in the present study.

As shown in Table 2, IFN-γ and GM-CSF alone had insignificant antileprosy activity, indicating that IFN-γ and GM-CSF alone are not sufficiently efficacious in activating host macrophages to exert intense anti-*M. leprae* activity. In particular, it appears that IFN-γ may require collaboration with certain other lymphokines to fully potentiate the microbicidal activity of macrophages against *M. leprae*. Notably, combined administration of KRM with IFN-γ but not with GM-CSF somewhat increased the therapeutic efficacy of KRM against *M. leprae* in mice, although the increase was not statistically significant. Thus, the efficacy of KRM treatment of leprosy patients may be increased when such chemotherapy is combined with appropriate immunotherapeutic agents, including IFN-γ. This finding suggests that combined immunotherapy may be useful for leprosy patients who are treated with multidrug regimens, including rifamycin derivatives. In addition, this finding indicates that IFN-γ, one of the Th1 cytokines participating in the expression of cell-mediated immunity against mycobacterial infections (1, 2, 13-15), also plays an important role in the host resistance to *M. leprae* infection. This supports the hypothesis of roles of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, particularly, Type 1 T cells, which can produce IFN-γ in response to the stimulatory signal of *M. leprae* antigens (10, 11, 21), in the expression of host resistance against *M. leprae* infections. Indeed, in the present study we found that CD4+ T cell- and CD8+ T cell-enriched lymphocyte fractions as well as whole lymphocyte fractions obtained from euthymic donor mice confer to recipient athymic mice markedly enhanced resistance to *M. leprae* infection, even if the cell transfer was performed when the *M. leprae* infection had already been established.

It is unclear why GM-CSF antagonized the therapeutic activity of KRM against *M. leprae* infection in athymic nude mice. Both of IFN-γ and GM-CSF are capable of
up-regulating the antimycobacterial activity (2, 3, 6, 15, 16) and active oxygen radical production (5, 6, 15) of macrophages. Moreover, their enhancement of the antimicrobial functions of macrophages are inhibited by IL-4 (4), and production of them by peripheral blood mononuclear cells is suppressed by IL-10 (2'). However, there are some essential differences between the properties of IFN-γ and GM-CSF, as follows: First, macrophages can produce GM-CSF but not IFN-γ (1'). Second, IFN-γ but not GM-CSF can up-regulate macrophage production of reactive nitrogen intermediates, which are important effectors of the mycobactericidal mechanisms of macrophages (4, 5). Therefore, the finding of differences between the effects of IFN-γ and GM-CSF in combination with KRM in chemotherapy of M. leprae infection is not unexpected. Further studies are currently underway concerning the reason why GM-CSF antagonized the therapeutic efficacy of KRM against M. leprae infections induced in athymic nude mice.

SUMMARY

In the present study, we evaluated the in vivo anti-Mycobacterium leprae activities of KRM-1648 (KRM) given at long intervals in combination with ofloxacin (OFLX), clofazimine (CFZ), and dapsone (DDS). We also examined the combined effects of two biological response modifiers (BRMs), gamma interferon (IFN-γ) and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), on the therapeutic efficacy of KRM. KRM exhibited potent therapeutic efficacy against M. leprae infection in mice even when given at 4-week intervals. KRM displayed increased efficacy in combination with OFLX, CFZ, and DDS (given three or six times per week) when given to mice in the multidrug combination KRM+OFLX+CFZ+DDS. The therapeutic efficacy of KRM given at 4-week intervals was increased by combined use with IFN-γ but not by GM-CSF. Adoptive transfer of M. leprae antigen-primed lymphocytes of euthymic mice to recipient athymic nude mice with progressive M. leprae infection markedly enhanced host resistance.

RESUMEN

En el presente estudio evaluamos la actividad anti-Mycobacterium leprae de la droga KRM-1648 (KRM) administrada en combinación con ofloxacina (OFLX), clofazimina (CFZ) y dapsona (DDS), a intervalos largos de tiempo. También examinamos los efectos combinados de dos modificadores biológicos de la respuesta inmunológica (interferón gamma, IFN-γ, y factor estimulante de colonias de granulocitos y mononucleados, GM-CSF) sobre la eficacia terapéutica de KRM. La droga (KRM) exhibió un potente efecto terapéutico contra la infección por M. leprae en el ratón, aun administrado a intervalos de 4 semanas. La eficiencia de KRM fue todavía mayor cuando se administró, 3 ó 6 veces por semana, en la combinación KRM+OFLX+CFZ+DDS. La eficacia terapéutica de la KRM administrada a intervalos de 4 semanas, también se incrementó cuando se usó en combinación con IFN-γ pero no cuando se usó junto con el GM-CSF. La transferencia adoptiva de linfocitos de ratones eutimicos estimulados con antígenos de M. leprae a ratones desnudos atímicos infectados con M. leprae, aumentó marcadamente la resistencia del huésped.

RÉSUMÉ

Dans l'étude présente, nous avons évalué les activités in vivo vis-à-vis de Mycobacterium leprae de KRM-1648 (KRM) donné à de longs intervalles en combinaison avec l'ofloxacine (OFLX), la clofazimine (CFZ) et la dapsone (DDS). Nous avons également examiné les effets combinés de deux modificateurs de réponse biologique (MBR), l'interferon gamma (IFN-γ) et le facteur de stimulation des colonies de granulocytes-macrophages (FSC-GM), sur l'efficacité thérapeutique du KRM. Le KRM a montré une efficacité thérapeutique puissante vis-à-vis de l'infection à M. leprae chez la souris, même quand il est donné à des intervalles de quatre semaines. Le KRM a montré une efficacité accrue en combinaison avec OFLX, CFZ et DDS (donnés trois ou six fois par semaine) quand administré à la souris en combinaison polychimiothérapeutique KRM+OFLX+CFZ+DDS. L'efficacité thérapeutique du KRM donné à des intervalles de quatre semaines était augmentée par la combinaison avec IFN-γ, mais pas par FSC-GM. Le transfert adoptif de lymphocytes de souris euthymiques activés par des antígenos de M. leprae a des souris nues athymiques présentant une infection progressive par M. leprae ont stimulé la résistance de l'hôte de manière marquée.
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